

Stanislaus County Parks and Recreation Department

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended on project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #5 – Applicant must verify response.

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Ground Operations – Frank Raines | G15-03-20-G01 |
| Project Description | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. | |
| Project Cost Estimate | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equipment Use Expenses – Equipment Rental- Cost appears excessive in comparison with equipment specified in previous year's project. Applicant must provide a breakdown of how cost was determined. • Equipment Use Expenses – Vehicle Use- Applicant must identify vehicles. | |

| |
|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Others – Training- Training is now an Indirect Cost. Applicant must move line item to Indirect Costs. |
| Evaluation Criteria |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> #4 – Narrative does not support the “...publicly noticed meetings...” selection. Applicant must explain how the public was notified of the meeting(s) and where the meeting(s) were held. #6 – Project Description does not support “Providing an alternative to wet crossings...” #8 – Project Description only supports “Camping” selection. |

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Ground Operations – La Grange | G15-03-20-G02 |
| Needs Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. | |
| Project Cost Estimate | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contracts – Heavy Equipment and Operator- Cost appears excessive in comparison with previous year’s project. The addition of cost for insurance appears to be an Indirect Cost. Applicant must explain how the county’s requirements are different from previous years. | |
| Evaluation Criteria | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> #4 – Narrative does not support the response. Dates of meetings listed were in early 2015. Applicant must identify more than one stakeholder and explain how the participants of the stakeholders meeting(s) are stakeholders to the project. #5 – Narrative only supports one partner. #8 – Project Description only supports “Camping” selection. | |